

Cumulative Table of Cases Connecticut Appellate Reports Volume 178

Frauenglass & Associates, LLC v. Enagbare	35
<i>Contracts; action to recover unpaid legal fees; whether claims regarding attorney's fees charged by plaintiff in underlying dissolution proceeding constituted improper collateral attack on underlying judgment; reviewability of claim of newly discovered evidence of fraudulent conduct by plaintiff; failure to file motion to open underlying judgment on basis of fraud; reviewability of claim made for first time on appeal.</i>	
Samakaab v. Dept. of Social Services.	52
<i>Employment discrimination; claim that defendant discriminated against plaintiff on basis of age, sex, national origin and prior opposition to unlawful employment practices in violation of Connecticut Fair Employment Practices Act (§ 46a-51 et seq.); whether trial court properly determined that insufficient facts were presented to support prima facie case of discrimination or retaliation; adoption of trial court's memorandum of decision as proper statement of facts and applicable law on issues.</i>	
State v. Gill.	43
<i>Murder; sufficiency of evidence; claim that state presented insufficient evidence to prove that defendant intended to cause victim's death; consciousness of guilt evidence.</i>	
State v. Jackson	16
<i>Murder; claim that trial court committed plain error in failing to give special accomplice credibility instruction regarding testimony of two witnesses; whether defendant failed to establish indisputable instructional error on part of trial court that was so clear and obvious as to require extraordinary remedy of reversal, as required under first prong of plain error doctrine; whether claim failed second prong of plain error doctrine because it did not result in manifest injustice; whether jury was presumed to have followed trial court's instructions.</i>	
State v. Torres	29
<i>Murder; claim that certain portions of trial court's instruction to jury on reasonable doubt constituted plain error; claim that cumulative effect of subject portions of instruction constituted plain error; whether Appellate Court was bound by Supreme Court precedent.</i>	
Stephen J. R. v. Commissioner of Correction	1
<i>Habeas corpus; ineffective assistance of counsel; claim that habeas court improperly denied petition for certification to appeal after erroneously concluding that trial counsel had provided effective assistance despite decision not to consult with and present testimony of expert on false memory syndrome in child sexual assault cases.</i>	